

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

10 MYRRA MAY, CASE NO. 5:09-cv-00459 EJD

11 v. Plaintiff(s), ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY
12 WASHINGTON MUTUAL BROKERAGE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

13 HOLDINGS, INC., et. al.,

14 Defendant(s).
15 _____ /

16 Having reviewed the parties' Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements (see Docket Item
17 Nos. 142, 146), the court has determined that a pretrial conference is premature at this time.
18 Accordingly, the Preliminary Pretrial Conference scheduled for September 13, 2013, is
19 CONTINUED to **January 24, 2014, at 11:00 a.m.** The parties shall file an updated Joint
20 Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statement on or before **January 14, 2014.**¹

21 In addition, the parties shall comply with the following orders:

22 1. Since Defendants consent to Plaintiff's request to reopen discovery, the parties shall
23 file a Stipulation and Proposed Order on or before **September 20, 2013**, which
24 provides for such relief and details the scope of any additional discovery that may be
25 undertaken.

26
27 _____
28 ¹ The court emphasizes that these types of statements should be *joint* submissions - not
separate submissions.

- 1 2. On or before **September 16, 2013**, the parties shall contact Judge Grewal's
2 courtroom deputy and shall arrange for an additional settlement conference, which
3 shall occur no later than 90 days from the date this order is filed.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: September 10, 2013


EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge